The talk took place at Mr. Charett's request and lasted about twenty minutes. Sir Francis had come up earlier in the day together with inbassador Cilbert and Mr. Amssell to call on the Director General with regard to the situation in Jerusalem. Mr. Sharett referred to some of the events of the morning and said that things seemed to be subsiding. Sir Francis said that he wished to tell him in strict confidence — the observance of which he said involved the immediate future of the British commanding officer of the Legion — that order had been given to withdraw the Sational Guard from the line; also that the men of the Legion, to ensure that the no-fire order would be rigidly maintained, had been ordered to withdraw the bolts of their rifles and to keep their magazines empty.

Br. Sharett said that the Cabinet that morning had had full reports from himself and the Chief of Staff. He had indicated to Sir Francis last night the very serious view which we took of what was happening and that was reflected too in this mornings meeting. One thing they could not understand was the rationale of the Jordanian action. What did they hope to gain from this umprovoked attack. As an indication of how totally unexpected it was he could tell him (he depoted if the Minister of Defence would wish to report this to a Kassact which might be inclined to be wise after the event) that while we had of course sentries at their appointed posts, we-were in fact without any defence units in position at the time the Jordanians started their assault.

Hr. Charett went on to may that the remon he had seved to ove Sir Francis was because he (Sir Francis) was in a unique position here as the representative of the one Government in Treaty relations with Jordan. He did not propose to cite or to attempt to interpret that treaty with which his Francis was certainly more families than he; was did Hr. Charett know how and by whom decisi he were taken in Jordan — there were probably wheels within wheels; but he did wish to suggest that in the light of the underlying purpose of the treaty and of what was now going on it was time that the British put their foot down in Assen.

In caphasis ing Jordan's responsibility for what had happened in Sharett said that he had no great hopes about the outcome of the puope sed U.S. investigation. So also referred to the fact that the Arabs had already as he understood submitted a complaint to the United Nations in which connection he was reminded of an Arab proverb to the effect that you should first give the other person a kick and then cry that you are hart. Sir Francis interjected that there was a similar proverb where he came from Apart from the clear recent of the events there were three reasons sky in any fighting in Jerusalem we were the fact of the continue. The Arabs had the protection of a thick well. It was a mediasval wall but it was thick

- b) The Araba could fire into any part of the New City without minking damage to a Mely Place. Our only two Holy Places were on Secunt Mich virtually pasted on to the Old City Wall. On the other hand the chromotances were such from our point of view, that despite every precention (and Mr. Lavon in at last mitherising a response to the Jordanian fire had specifically ordered such processing) one could not be sure of angiding damage some site in the Old City.
- c) It seemed that the Jordanians were less concerned about the lives of the people on their side than was the case with us.

enough to top bullets and even mortage.

Wr. Sharett went on to emphasize that if the situation had in fact now been brought under control it was essential that any effort by Jordan to distort the picture and to attribute the blaze elecators than it belonged - on their own shoulders - should not succeed. They must not be allowed to get away with it.

In general Sir Froncis' intervention was limited to what I can only describe an propitiatory noises. He did however make the point that speaking from his con experience in World War I it was possible, on a tense front, for a chance shot coming from heaven known where to ignite the entire lime on both sides in a matter of seconds. He also remarked on the good morals shown by the civilian population on our side as compared apparently with what had happened across the line.

At the conclusion of the talk Sir Francis referred to a report that fire had been directed, possibly assistingly, by us at a U.N. observer or observers. Mr. Sharett said that Sir Francis had already brought this incident to his notice and that he (Mr. Sharett) had caused an investigation to be made. (Later I told Sir Francis that our inquiry had failed to reveal any such incident nor had we received any complaint from the U.N.)

Ask