3099

"I have read the statement made by the British Prime Minister on Movember 9th with the serious attention it deserves and I regret that its main contents are in complete contradiction to its declared objective. His proposal to truncate the territory of Israel for the benefit of its neighbors has no legal, moral or logical basis and cannot be considered. Instead of foreit fostering better relations and bringing peace nearer, it is likely to encourage and intensify Arab aggression and to lessen the likelihood of peace in the Middle East. Sir Anthony Eden has levelled criticism at the Soviet Government, which has decided, as he put it, to inject into this delicate situation a new element of danger tax and to deliver weapons of war, tanks, sirplanes, even submarines, to one side only. And he assented that it is impossible to reconcile this Soviet action with protestations that they wish to end the cold wer in the new spirit of Geneva.

"It is astonishing, on the other hand, that the British Prime Minister should defend Egypt(s part in this 'transaction', the transaction of which Sir Anthony Eden says that ' it is fantastic to pretend that this deliberate act of policy as an innocent commercial transaction. It is even more

2486/10/2 1558

Si si a Si sicol

disquieting that Sir Anthony Eden deliberately ignores the grave danger which the supply of weapons of war to one side only involves The British Prime Minister for Israel. contends in his speech that the main responsibility rests on the suppliers and not on the recipients, as if the purchasers of the arms had bought them for decorative purposes only. Does not the British Prime Minister know as well as I do for what purposes these arms are intended in Egypt? Sir Anthony Eden, who places the responsibility on the suppliers, has apparently forgotten that for a long time his Government, too, had been supplying arms to one side only and thereis no indication in his statement that it will not do so again in the future.

"The British Prime Minister is rightly concerned at the increase of tension in the Middle East, particularly between Egypt and Israel, although he passes over in silence the danger involved for Israel in Egyptian arms superiority, and he points out that the hostility between Israel and her Arab neighbors; is unreconciled. Here time has proved no healer."

5 No.

"Sir Anthony Eden did not see fit to point out that Israel, which was the victim towards her neighbors after the end of the fighting, and stretched out her hand for peace and that hand is still outstretched. But the British Frime Minister did single cut Israel in his proposals for peace between the two sidest Israel must cade some of its territory to its neighbors, and this proposal is what Sir Anthony Eden calls a compromise between these (the Israel and Arab) two positions.

"And why does the British Prime Minister
use the word 'compromise' to describe the
truncation of Israel's territory? Because
the Arab states, according to him, take their
stand on the United Mations Resolution of
November 29, 1947 and Sir Anthony Eden declares
that 'it is not right, I agree, that United
Nations Resolutions should be ignored.'
But since Israel takes her stand, according
to Sir Anthony, on the frontiers set out
in the Armistice Agreements of 1949, it is
necessary to find a compromise between these
two frontiers: to cut off part
of the 'excess' territory of Israel and
hand it over to the neighboring states.

definition of the 'innocent commercial' transaction ' can be applied with a greater measure of force and truth to this innocent

ignores in his socalled compromise proposal a series of basic historical and political facts, of which it is quite inconceivable that he, as a man who has headed the British Foreign Office for many years, could fail to be aware.

"1. Ristory does not begin with the United Nations General Assembly's Resolution of November 29, 1947. The Jewish People will always remember, and remember in gratitude and esteem, that thirty years before that United Nations General Assembly, the British Covernment, under the leadership of Licyd George and Balfour, and with the active cooperation of the President of the United States, Woodrow Wilson, ammowledged the historical connection between the Jewish People and the Land of Israel. And this connection did not come into existence as a result of that declaration; the opposite was This connection was in existence the case. throughout the generations, and we live in our land as of right and not as on fairerence. A State of Israel was in existence in this country in a period when human history knew nothing of the existence of America or And Jerusalem was the capital of Britian. Israel when the world was unaware of the existence of London, Moscow or Paris.

"2. The British Government was the only non-Arab state which declared at the United Nations General Assembly as corly as September 26. 1947 that she would not implement that's the United Mations Resolutions on the Palestine The British Covernment acted according to this declaration, thereby encouraging, directly or indirectly, the armed opposition of the Arab states to these resolutions. It perused to hand over the administration of Palestine during the transition period to the United Nations Commission, and abandoned the country to chaos. Only the establishment of the Government of Israel on May 196 1948, eight hours before the British withdrawal. saved the Jerdsh community from annihilation and the country as a whole from muin.

Traq, Lebanon, Transjordan and Saudi

Arabia which, according to Sir Anthony

Edon, take their stand on the United Nations

Resolutions of November 29, 1947 did not content

themselves with public pronouncements about
their disagreement with and opposition to
the United Nations Resolution; they invaded
the country with their armies immediately
after the departure of the Mandatory Government
and engaged in a war of annihilation against
that State of Israel, the reestablishment of
which had been decided upon by more than

two-thirds of the members of the United Nations.

finger to save the young state and its small people (which then mulbered only about 650,000 souls) . Moreover, British officers, who then headed the Arab Legion, and British arms in Egypt, Iraq and the other Areb countries, played a considerable and predominating part in the shelling of Jerusalem, and would have destroyed it but for the supreme heroism of its Jewish inhabitants and the devotion of our sons and daughters who successfully repelled the attacks of the invadors, though not without the loss of precious and irreplaceable The invasion of the Arab states has made all the United Nations Resolutions on Palestine mull and void. They cannot be brought back to life anymore than can the thousands of Jewish defenders who gave their · lives for the salvation of their people and the renewal of its freedom.

never decided to do what Sir Anthony Eden
now proposes - to increase the a reas of the
neighboring states (Egypt, Transjordan,
Syria and Lebanon ) at the expense of the
Land of Israel. This is a fantastic suggestion,
which did not enter anybody's mind at the
United Nations General Assembly. According
to the United Nations resolution not a single
inch of Palestine territory was to be handed

over to the an neighboring states. Sir Anthony Eden's proposals, in effect, amount to the dismemberment of the State of Israel and the grant of minimum a revert to the Arch aggressors of 1948. The only state in the Middle mat entitled to redress for the criminal attack of the Arab states in 1948 is the State of Israel. Israel was attacked by her neighbors. Egypt, Transjordan, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon and Ameiram Soudi Arabia were the aggressors. and these countries still continue their war against Israel by other meanst boycott, blockade, and the organization of bands of saboteurs and murderers who are sent from time to time into Israel territory. This fact of aggression against Israel by the Arab states is still fresh in the memory of our generation all over the world. The fact that is in contradiction to the United Nations resolutions is the presence of the Egyptian army in the Gaga strip. The second fact that is in contradiction to the United Nations resolutions is the annexation by the Transjordan Covernment of a considerable part of Western Palectine - the 61d 61ty of Jerusalem, Hebron, Mablus, etc.. The British Government, and I believe only the British Covernment, recognized this annexation in April 1950, completely ignoring the

United Metions Resolutions. Sir Anthony Eden

not right that United mations Deschutions should be ignored, then Raypt should immediately leave the Gaga strip and Transjorden should by accuste all Western Palestine.

the Enesset on November 2, a fortnight ago,
I amounced that ' we do not covet a single
inch of foreign soil. But as long as we
live we will not permit anyone to rob us
of a single inch of our land. This is the
considered and determined policy of the
Government of Israel. Accordingly we do not
see in Sir Anthony Eden's proposal a way for
the resolution of the conflict in the
Middle East, but a factor making for
its intensification.

with any of the Arab rulers in order to achieve a mutual settlement, without any prior conditions, which I announced a fortnight ago in the Emesset, still stands. There is room for local frontier rectifications agre-able and beneficial for both sides, carried out as a result of mutual agreement. But the British Prime Minister's proposal for the truncation of the territory of Israel, means giving a reward to the

aggressors. The Covernment of Israel vill not conduct any negotiations on this BASIS"